

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,534	10/18/2001	Isaac Ostrovsky	265/222	8068	
34313	7590 07/14/2003				
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP			EXAMINER		
4 PARK PLAZ SUITE 1600			CONNOLLY, PATRICK J		
IRVINE, CA	92614-2558		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2877		
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- W
•		10/017,534	OSTROVSKY ET A	L.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•		Patrick J Connolly	2877	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	I -	n the correspondence add	ress
Period fo			NTI KON EDOM	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INTERIOR STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INTERIOR DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this cor  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	ın		
1) <u>□</u> 2a) <u>□</u>	•	This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for		ers, prosecution as to the	e merits is
,	closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
-	ion of Claims	ication		
4)	Claim(s) <u>1-73</u> is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w			
<b>c</b> \□	,	illidiawii iloili collaideration.		
5) <u></u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-73</u> is/are rejected.			
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement		
	ion Papers	and/or clocken requirements		
••	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
	The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2001		cted to by the Examiner.	
, —	Applicant may not request that any objection			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examine	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
]	1. Certified copies of the priority doc			
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc			
*	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation for the attached detailed Office action for the action for t</li></ol>	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional	application).
15)	a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign languates $\square$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for $\square$	age provisional application has be domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	een received. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachme	nt(s)	_	-	
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No nformal Patent Application (PT	
U.S. Patent and	Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 2877

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-5, 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,943,133 to Zeylikovich et al (hereafter Zeylikovich).

As to claims 1 and 58, Zeylikovich discloses an apparatus and method of using including (see Figure 1, also column 4):

a low coherence light source (see column 5, lines 7-8 and Figure 29, diode laser);

a first beam splitter (BS1);

a diffraction grating (11);

a second beam splitter (BS2); and

a detector (23).

As to claim 3, Zeylikovich discloses a reflective diffraction grating (see column 4, lines 31, 32).

As to claim 4, Zeylikovich discloses a multi element photo detector (see for example Figure 6, 34).

As to claim 5, Zeylikovich discloses a signal processor (25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2877

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21, 22, 24, 25, 29, 30, 32, 35, 37, 38, 41, 43, 44, 47 48, 60, 63 and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,943,133 to Zeylikovich et al.

As to claims 21 and 22, Zeylikovich teaches using fibers to transport light (see lines 30-40, also Figures 29, 30).

As to claims 24, 35, 37 and 60, the use of focusing and conjugating lenses and collimators are notoriously well known in the art and it would have been obvious to on of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include such optics in the apparatus of Zeylikovich.

As to claim 25 and 44, Zeylikovich teaches a reflective diffraction grating (see column 4, lines 31, 32).

As to claim 29 and 43, Zeylikovich teaches a phase modulator (see Figure 6, AOM, also column 7, first paragraph).

As to claim 30, 47 and 55, Zeylikovich teaches a signal processor (25).

As to claim 31 and 48, Zeylikovich teaches using a pulsed laser (see column 1, also Figure 1).

As to claims 32, 38 and 54, Zeylikovich teaches a multi element photo detector (see for example Figure 6, 34).

Page 4

Art Unit: 2877

As to claim 41, interferometers that use a light source with a wavelength band that induces fluorescence are notoriously well known in the art and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include such a light source in the apparatus of Zeylikovich if the fluorescent properties of the sample were of interest.

As to claims 63 and 73, Zeylikovich teaches measuring a biological tissue (see column 1) As to the claims above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time of invention to combine various elements from the various embodiments of

Zeylikovich's apparatus, as the advantages of these elements are well known and taught within

the specification of Zeylikovich.

Claims 2 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zeylikovich as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of "Nonmechanical gratinggenerated scanning coherence microscopy" by Zeylikovich et al (hereafter "Nonmechanical ...").

As to claims 2 and 20, while U.S. Patent No. 5,943,133 to Zeylikovich et al teaches diffracting both the reference and the sample light beams, "Nonmechanical ..." teaches a similar interferometer for optical coherence-domain reflectometry diffracting only the reference beam. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to configure the apparatus of U.S. Patent No. 5,943,133 to Zeylikovich to only diffract the reference beam in the manner taught in "Nonmechanical ...".

Art Unit: 2877

Claim 6-8 and 16-18, 39, 40, 53, 56, 57, 70 and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,943,133 to Zeylikovich as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,459,570 to Swanson et al (hereafter Swanson).

As to claims 6, 7, 39, 40, 53, 56, 57, and 71, Swanson teaches an optical coherence domain reflectometer including (see Figure 6):

two detectors (42C, 42D); and

polarization filters positioned to filter a first and second combined beams respectively with respect to respective polarizations (116)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to configure the apparatus of Zeylikovich to include the polarization analysis of Swanson.

As to claim 8, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have both detectors be multi-element detectors as Zeylikovich already discloses a single multi-element detector (see above).

As to claims 16-18, 40, and 72, Swanson teaches an optical coherence domain reflectometer including (see Figure 3, column 10, lines 12-17):

two low coherence light sources (12A and 12B); and

two multi-element detectors positioned to receive combined light beams, each detector configured to detect light at a respective wavelength of the sources (42).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to configure the apparatus of Zeylikovich to include the wavelength analysis of Swanson.

Art Unit: 2877

Claims 9-12, 13-15, 23, 26-27 34, 36, 45, 46, 49-52, 58, 59, 61, 62, 64, 65, 66, 67, 69, 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,943,133 to Zevlikovich as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,143,003 to Tearney et al (hereafter Tearney).

As to claims 9-12, 14, 15, 26-27, 34, 36, 42, 49-52, 58, 59, 61, 62, 64, 65, 69 and 70, Tearney teaches a method and apparatus for performing optical coherence tomography including an interferometer (see Figure 3, column 6, lines 15-25). In the interferometer, Tearney teaches that the optical couplers (acting as beam splitters) do not have to divide radiation equally. Tearney goes on to explain that the division of radiation should be determined by noise limitations. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to choose a combination beamsplitters of different proportions in the apparatus of Zeylikovich in order to improve measurements.

As to claims 13, 33, 66 and 67, Tearney teaches using optical circulators to direct light beams (Figure 3, 30). Optical circulators are notoriously well known in the fiber art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include circulators for light direction in the apparatus of Zeylikovich.

As to claims 28, 45, and 46, Tearney teaches using attaching an interferometer to a catheter (see Figure 12, also column 12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to attach a catheter to the apparatus of Zeylikovich (see also column 1 of Zeylikovich).

Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick J Connolly whose telephone number is 703.305.4397. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am-5.30 pm ... Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on 703.308.4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.746.7722 for regular communications and 703.746.7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0956.

pjc (1) July 1, 2003

> Samuel A. Turner Primary Examiner